



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ck
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,362	04/18/2005	Dominique Michel	LP-2002	9841
217 7590 01/10/2007 FISHER, CHRISTEN & SABOL 1725 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1108 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER YOUNG, SHAWQUA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/520,362

Applicant(s)

MICHEL, DOMINIQUE

Examiner

Shawquia Young

Art Unit

1626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-30 are currently pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Lack of Unity Requirement

Claims 1-30 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13), and accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2.

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention).

PCT Rule 13.2 states unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1 (b), provides that "special technical features" mean those technical features, which, as a whole, define a contribution over the prior art.

Annex B, Part 1 (e), provides combinations of different categories of claims and states:

"The method for determining unity of invention under Rule 13 shall be construed as permitting, in particular, the inclusion of any one of the following combinations of claims of different categories in the same international application:

(i) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claims for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for use of the said product, or

(ii) in addition to an independent claim for a given process, an independent claim for an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process, or

(iii) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, and independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process,..."

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Due to the various classes or subclasses that variables R^1 and R^2 can fall under, e.g. R^1 can be furanyl, thienyl, naphthyl, alkyl, cycloalkyl, etc., a precise listing of inventive groups cannot be made. ***The following groups are exemplary:***

Group I claim(s) 1-8 and 21-25 (in part), are drawn to a process for the preparation of a compound of formula (I), wherein R^1 is C_{1-8} alkyl and R^2 is as defined in claim1, classified in various subclasses of class 514.

Group II claim(s) 1-8 and 21-25 (in part), are drawn to a process for the preparation of a compound of formula (I), wherein R^1 is phenyl and R^2 is as defined in claim1, classified in various subclasses of class 514.

Group III claim(s) 1-8 and 21-25 (in part), are drawn to a process for the preparation of a compound of formula (I), wherein R^1 is furanyl and R^2 is as defined in claim1, classified in various subclasses of class 514.

Group IV 1-8 and 21-25 (in part), are drawn to a process for the preparation of a compound of formula (I), wherein R^1 is thienyl and R^2 is as defined in claim1, classified in various subclasses of class 514.

Group V claims 9 (in part) and 11, are drawn to compounds of formula (II) wherein: R^1 is furanyl, R^2 is as defined in claim 9, classified in various subclasses of class 548.

Group VI claim 9 (in part), is drawn to compounds of formula (II) wherein: R^1 is benzofuranyl, R^2 is as defined in claim 9, classified in various subclasses of class 548.

Group VII claims 9 (in part) and 10, are drawn to compounds of formula (II) wherein: R^1 is thienyl, R^2 is as defined in claim 9, classified in various subclasses of class 548.

Group VIII claim 9 (in part), is drawn to compounds of formula (II) wherein: R^1 is benzo[b]thienyl, R^2 is as defined in claim 9, classified in various subclasses of class 548.

Group IX claim 12 (in part), is drawn to compounds of formula (VIII), classified in class 548.


Group X claim(s) 13-20 and 26-30 (in part), are drawn to a process for the preparation of a compound of formula (II), wherein R^1 is C_{1-8} alkyl and R^2 is as defined in claim 1, classified in various subclasses of class 514.


Group XI claim(s) 13-20 and 26-30 (in part), are drawn to a process for the preparation of a compound of formula (II), wherein R^1 is phenyl and R^2 is as defined in claim 1, classified in various subclasses of class 514.

Group XII claim(s) 13-20 and 26-30 (in part), are drawn to a process for the preparation of a compound of formula (II), wherein R^1 is furanyl and R^2 is as defined in claim 1, classified in various subclasses of class 514.

Group XIII claim(s) 13-20 and 26-30 (in part), are drawn to a process for the preparation of a compound of formula (II), wherein R^1 is thienyl and R^2 is as defined in claim 1, classified in various subclasses of class 514.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. Again, **this list is not exhausted**, as it would be impossible under the time constraints due to the sheer volume of subject matter instantly claimed. Therefore, applicant may choose to elect a single invention by identifying another specific embodiment not listed in the exemplary groups of the invention and examiner will endeavor to group the same. **If applicant is unable to elect a single invention, applicant may instead choose to elect a specific compound and examiner will attempt to group it.** The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 since the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art (See, Wilkerson, 4,948,813 for example).



The compounds claimed contain , which does not define a contribution over the prior art. The substituents vary extensively and when taken as a whole result in vastly different compounds. Accordingly, the vastness of the claimed subject matter and the complications in understanding the claimed subject matter imposes a burden on any examination of the claimed subject matter.


Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).


Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawquia Young whose telephone number is 571-272-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Shawquia Young
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1626, Group 1620
Technology Center 1600

KAMALA A. SAEED, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph McKane
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1626, Group 1620
Technology Center 1600